

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/022,090	Applicant(s) WELCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Ula C Ruddock	Art Unit 1771	

All Participants:

(1) Ula C Ruddock.

(2) Tim Cassidy.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Morman et al. (US 6,579,274 and 6,627,564)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant has agreed to amend the claims in order to overcome the Morman et al. references by amending claims 1 and 12 to read on individual polymer fibers that "contact no more than one other adjacently-located individual polymer fiber." Applicant has also agreed to amend claims 9 and 18 to include individual polymers fibers that contain a tackifier. .